

# DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DERIVED BY GENERALIZED WEIGHTED MEAN

HARUN POLAT, VATAN KARAKAYA, AND NECIP ŞİMŞEK

**ABSTRACT.** In this work, we define new sequence spaces by combining generalized weighted mean and difference operator. Afterward, we investigate topological structure which are completeness,  $AK$ -property,  $AD$ -property. Also, we compute the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals, and obtain bases for these sequence spaces. Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions on an infinite matrix belonging to the classes  $(c(u, v, \Delta) : \ell_\infty)$  and  $(c(u, v, \Delta) : c)$  are established.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In studies on the sequence spaces, there are some basic approaches which are determination of topologies, matrix mapping and inclusions of sequence spaces (see; [10]). These methods are applied to study the matrix domain  $\lambda_A$  of an infinite matrix  $A$  defined by  $\lambda_A = \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in \lambda\}$ . Especially, the weighted mean and the difference operators which are special cases for the matrix  $A$  have been studied extensively via the methods mentioned above.

In the literature, some new sequence spaces are defined by using the generalized weighted mean and the difference operator or by combining both of them. For example, in [7], the difference sequence spaces are first defined by Kızmaz. Further, the authors including Ahmad and Mursaleen [1], Çolak and Et[5], Başar and Altay Karakaya and Polat[6], and the others have defined and studied new sequence spaces by considering matrices that represent difference operators. The articles concerning this work can be found in the list of references [2], [3], [14] and [9]. On the other hand, by using generalized weighted mean, several authors defined some new sequence spaces and studied some properties of these spaces. Some of them are as follows: Malkowsky and Savaş [11] have defined the sequence spaces  $Z(u, v, \lambda)$  which consists of all sequences such that  $G(u, v)$ -transforms of them are in  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0, \ell_p\}$ . Başar and Altay [4] have defined and studied the sequence spaces of nonabsolute type driven by generalized weighted mean over the paranormed spaces.

In this work, our purpose is to introduce new sequence spaces by combining the generalized weighted mean and difference operator and also to investigate topological structure which are completeness,  $AK$ ,  $AD$  properties, the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals, and the bases of these sequence spaces. In addition, we characterize some matrix mappings on these spaces.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A05, 46A35, 46A45.

*Key words and phrases.* Difference sequence space, generalized weighted mean,  $AK$  and  $AD$  properties, the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals and bases of sequences, matrix mappings.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

By  $w$ , we denote the space of all real or complex valued sequences. Any vector subspace of  $w$  is called a sequence space. We write  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  for the spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively. Also by  $bs, cs, \ell_1$ , we denote the spaces of all bounded, convergent and absolutely convergent series, respectively.

A sequence space  $\lambda$  with a linear topology is called a  $K$ -space provided each of the maps  $p_i : \lambda \rightarrow C$  defined by  $p_i(x) = x_i$  is continuous for all  $i \in N$ ; where  $C$  denotes the complex field and  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . A  $K$ -space  $\lambda$  is called an  $FK$  space provided  $\lambda$  is a complete linear metric space. An  $FK$ -space whose topology is normable is called a  $BK$ -space. An  $FK$ -space  $\lambda$  is said to have  $AK$  property, if  $\varphi \subset \lambda$  and  $\{e^{(k)}\}$  is a basis for  $\lambda$ , where  $e^{(k)}$  is a sequence whose only non-zero term is a 1 in  $k$ th place for each  $k \in N$  and  $\varphi = \text{span}\{e^{(k)}\}$ , the set of all finitely non-zero sequences. If  $\varphi$  is dense in  $\lambda$ , then  $\lambda$  is called an  $AD$ -space, thus  $AK$  implies  $AD$ . For example, the spaces  $c_0, cs$ , and  $\ell_p$  are  $AK$ -spaces, where  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be any two sequence spaces and  $A = (a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix of real numbers  $a_{nk}$ , where  $n, k \in N$ . Then, we write  $Ax = ((Ax)_n)$ , the  $A$ -transform of  $x$ , if  $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$  converges for each  $n \in N$ . If  $x \in \lambda$  implies that  $Ax \in \mu$  then we say that  $A$  defines a matrix mapping from  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$  and denote it by  $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ . By  $(\lambda : \mu)$ , we mean the class of all infinite matrices  $A$  such that  $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ . For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to  $\infty$ . We write  $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$  and  $U$  for the set of all sequences  $u = (u_k)$  such that  $u_k = 0$  for all  $k \in N$ . For  $u \in U$ , let  $1/u = (1/u_k)$ . Let  $u, v \in U$  and define the matrix  $G(u, v) = (g_{nk})$  by

$$g_{nk} = \begin{cases} u_n v_k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

for all  $k, n \in N$ , where  $u_n$  depends only on  $n$  and  $v_k$  only on  $k$ . The matrix  $G(u, v)$ , defined above, is called as generalized weighted mean or factorable matrix. The matrix domain  $\lambda_A$  of an infinite matrix  $A$  in a sequence space  $\lambda$  is defined by  $\lambda_A = \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in \lambda\}$  which is a sequence space. Although in the most cases the new sequence space  $\lambda_A$  generated by the limitation matrix  $A$  from a sequence space  $\lambda$  is the expansion or the contraction of the original space  $\lambda$ .

The *continuous dual*  $X'$  of a normed space  $X$  is defined as the space of all bounded linear functionals on  $X$ . If  $A$  is triangle, that is  $a_{nk} = 0$  if  $k > n$  and  $a_{nn} \neq 0$  for all  $n \in N$ , and  $\lambda$  is a sequence space, then  $g \in \lambda'_A$  if and only if  $f = g \circ A$ ,  $f \in \lambda'$ .

Let  $X$  be a seminormed space. A set  $Y \subset X$  is called fundamental if the span of  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ . One of the useful results on fundamental set which is an application of Hahn–Banach Theorem as follows: If  $Y$  is the subset of a seminormed space  $X$  and  $f \in X'$ ,  $f(Y) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ , then  $Y$  is fundamental [[15] p. 39].

## 3. THE SEQUENCE SPACES $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$ FOR $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$

In this section, we define the new sequence spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  derived by the generalized weighted mean, and prove that these are the complete normed linear spaces and compute their  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -duals. Furthermore, we give the basis for the spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{c, c_0\}$ . Also we show that these spaces have  $AK$  and  $AD$  properties.

We define the sequence spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  by

$$\lambda(u, v, \Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : y = \sum_{i=1}^k u_k v_i \Delta x_i \in \lambda \right\}.$$

We write  $\Delta x = (\Delta x_i)$  for the sequence  $(x_k - x_{k-1})$  and use the convention that any term with negative subscript is equal to naught.

If  $\lambda$  is any normed sequence space, then we call the matrix domain  $\lambda_{G(u, v, \Delta)}$  as the generalized weighted mean difference sequence space. It is natural that these spaces may also be defined according to matrix domain as follows:

$$\lambda(u, v, \Delta) = \{\lambda\}_{G(u, v, \Delta)}$$

where is  $G(u, v, \Delta) = G(u, v) \cdot \Delta$ .

Define the sequence  $y = (y_k)$ ; which will be frequently used as the  $G(u, v, \Delta)$ -transform of a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad y_k = \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=0}^k u_k \nabla v_i x_i, (\nabla v = v_i - v_{i+1}) \quad (k \in N).$$

Since the proof may also be obtained in the similar way for the other spaces, to avoid the repetition of the similar statements, we give the proof only for one of those spaces.

**Theorem 1.** *The sequence spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  are the complete normed linear spaces with respect to the norm defined by*

$$(3.2) \quad \|x\|_{\lambda(u, v, \Delta)} = \sup_k \left| \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_i \Delta x_i \right| = \|y\|_\lambda$$

*Proof.* The linearity of  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  with respect to the coordinate-wise addition and scalar multiplication follows the following inequalities which are satisfied for  $x, t \in \lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in R$

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{k \in N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_i \Delta (\alpha x_i + \beta t_i) \right| \leq |\alpha| \sup_{k \in N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_i \Delta x_i \right| + |\beta| \sup_{k \in N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_i \Delta t_i \right|.$$

After this step, we must show that the spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  hold the norm conditions and the completeness with respect to given norm. It is easy to show that (3.2) holds the norm condition for the spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$ . To prove the completeness of the space  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$ , let us take any Cauchy sequence  $(x^n)$  in the space  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$ . Then for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_0(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\|x^n - x^r\|_{\lambda(u, v, \Delta)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, r > N_0(\varepsilon)$ . Hence fixed  $i \in N$ ,

$$|G(u, v, \Delta)(x_i^n - x_i^r)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n, r \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$ . Therefore the sequence  $((G\Delta)x^n)$  is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every  $n \in N$ . Since  $R$  is complete, it converges, that is;

$$((G(u, v, \Delta))x^r)_{i \in N} \rightarrow ((G(u, v, \Delta))x)_{i \in N}$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . So we have

$$|G(u, v, \Delta)(x_i^n - x_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

for every  $n \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$  and as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $\|x^n - x\|_{\lambda(u,v,\Delta)} < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$ . Now we must show that  $x \in \ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$ . We have

$$\sup_k |(G(u, v, \Delta)x)_k| \leq \|x^n\|_{\lambda(u,v,\Delta)} + \|x^n - x\|_{\lambda(u,v,\Delta)} = O(1)$$

This implies that  $x = (x_i) \in \ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$ . Therefore  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  is a Banach space. It can be shown that  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  are closed subspaces of  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  which leads us to the consequence that the spaces  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  are also the Banach spaces with the norm (3.2).

Furthermore, since  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  is a Banach space with continuous coordinates, i.e ;

$\|G(u, v, \Delta)(x^k - x)\|_{\lambda(u,v,\Delta)} \rightarrow 0$  implies  $|G(u, v, \Delta)(x_i^k - x_i)| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $i \in N$ , it is a  $BK$ -space.  $\square$

We define a Schauder basis of a normed space. If a normed sequence spaces  $\lambda$  contains a sequence  $(b_n)$  such that , for every  $x \in \lambda$ , there is unique sequence of scalars  $(\alpha_n)$  for which

$$g\left(x - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k b_k\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then  $(b_n)$  is called a Schauder basis for  $\lambda$ . The series  $\sum \alpha_k b_k$  that has the sum  $x$  is called the expansion of  $x$  in  $(b_n)$ , and we write  $x = \sum \alpha_k b_k$ , Maddox [[8] , p.98]

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\lambda_k = (G(u, v, \Delta)x)_k$  for all  $k \in N$ . Define the sequence  $b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in N}$  of the elements of the space  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  by

$$b_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{u_n v_k} - \frac{1}{u_n v_{k+1}} & (0 < k < n), \\ \frac{1}{u_n v_n} & (k = n), \\ 0 & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

for every fixed  $k \in N$ . Then the following assertions are true:

i) The sequence  $\{b^{(k)}\}_{k \in N}$  is basis for the space  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ , and any  $x \in c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  has a unique representation of the form

$$x = \sum_k \lambda_k b^{(k)}$$

ii) The set  $\{e, b^{(k)}\}$  is a basis for the space  $c(u, v, \Delta)$ , and any  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$  has a unique representation of form

$$x = le + \sum_k (\lambda_k - l) b^{(k)},$$

where  $\lambda_k = (G(u, v, \Delta)x)_k$   $k \in N$  and  $l = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (G(u, v, \Delta)x)_k$ .

**Theorem 3.** The sequence spaces  $\lambda(u, v, \Delta)$  for  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  are linearly isomorphic to the spaces  $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$  respectively, i.e.,

$$\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta) \cong \ell_\infty, c(u, v, \Delta) \cong c \text{ and } c_0(u, v, \Delta) \cong c_0.$$

*Proof.* To prove the fact  $c_0(u, v, \Delta) \cong c_0$ , we should show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $c_0$ . Consider the transformation  $T$  defined with the notation (3.1), from  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  to  $c_0$  by  $x \rightarrow y = Tx$ . The linearity of  $T$  from (3.3) is clear.

Further, it is trivial that  $x = 0$  whenever  $Tx = 0$  and hence  $T$  is injective.

Let  $y \in c_0$  and define the sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  by

$$(3.4) \quad x_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) y_i + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} y_k \quad (k \in N).$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (G(u, v, \Delta) x)_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k u_k v_k \Delta x_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = 0$$

Thus we have that  $x \in c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ . Consequently,  $T$  is surjective and is norm preserving. Hence,  $T$  is a linear bijection which therefore says us that the spaces  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $c_0$  are linearly isomorphic. In the same way, it can be shown that  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  are linearly isomorphic to  $c$  and  $\ell_\infty$ , respectively, and so we omit the detail.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *The sequence space  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  has AD property whenever  $u \in c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g \in [c_0(u, v, \Delta)]'$ . Then there exists a functional  $f$  over the space  $c_0$  such that  $f(x) = g(G(u, v, \Delta) x)$  for some  $f \in c'_0 = \ell_1$ . Since  $c_0$  has  $AK$ -property and  $c'_0 \cong \ell_1$

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \sum_{i=1}^j u_j \nabla v_i x_i$$

for some  $a = (a_j) \in \ell_1$ . Since  $u \in c_0$  by hypothesis the inclusion  $\varphi \subset c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  holds. For any  $f \in c'_0$  and  $e^{(k)} \in \varphi \subset c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ ,

$$f(e^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left\{ G(u, v, \Delta) e^{(k)} \right\}_j = \left\{ G'(u, v, \Delta) a \right\}_k$$

where  $G'(u, v, \Delta)$  is the transpose of the matrix  $G(u, v, \Delta)$ . Hence, from Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $\varphi$  is dens in  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  if and only if  $G'(u, v, \Delta) a = \theta$  for  $a \in \ell_1$  implies  $a = \theta$ . Since null space of the operator  $G'(u, v, \Delta)$  on  $w$  is  $\{\theta\}$ ,  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  has AD property.  $\square$

For the sequence spaces  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , define the set  $S(\lambda, \mu)$  by

$$(3.5) \quad S(\lambda, \mu) = \{z = (z_k) \in w : xz = (x_k z_k) \in \mu \text{ for all } x \in \lambda\}$$

With notation of (3.5), the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -duals of a sequence space  $\lambda$ , which are respectively denoted by  $\lambda^\alpha$ ,  $\lambda^\beta$ , and  $\lambda^\gamma$  are defined in [12] by

$$\lambda^\alpha = S(\lambda, l_1), \lambda^\beta = S(\lambda, cs) \text{ and } \lambda^\gamma = S(\lambda, bs).$$

We now need the following Lemmas due to Stieglitz and Tietz [13] for the proofs of theorems 5 – 6 – 7.

**Lemma 1.**  *$A \in (c_0 : l_1)$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk} \right| < \infty,$$

**Lemma 2.**  *$A \in (c_0 : c)$  if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| &< \infty, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} - \alpha_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.**  $A \in (c_0 : \ell_\infty)$  if and only if

$$\sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty.$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $u, v \in U$ ,  $a = (a_k) \in w$  and the matrix  $B = (b_{nk})$  by

$$b_{nk} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{u_n v_k} - \frac{1}{u_n v_{k+1}} \right) a_k & (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ \frac{1}{u_n v_n} a_n & (k = n), \\ 0 & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

for all  $k, n \in N$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -dual of the space  $\lambda(u, v; \Delta)$  is the set

$$b_\Delta = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_k + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a = (a_k) \in w$  and consider the matrix  $G^{-1}(u, v, \Delta) = \Delta^{-1} G^{-1}(u, v)$  and sequence  $a = (a_k)$ . Bearing in mind the relation (3.1), we immediately derive that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad a_k x_k &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) y_i a_k + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} y_k a_k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_i y_k + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} y_k a_k = (By)_k \end{aligned}$$

( $i, k \in N$ ). We therefore observe by (3.6) that  $ax = (a_n x_n) \in \ell_1$  whenever  $x \in c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ ,  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  if and only if  $By \in \ell_1$  whenever  $y \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$ . Then, we derive by Lemma 1 that

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_k + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_k \right| < \infty$$

which yields the consequence that  $[c_0(u, v, \Delta)]^\alpha = [c(u, v, \Delta)]^\alpha = [\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)]^\alpha = b_\Delta$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $u, v \in U$ ,  $a = (a_k) \in w$  and the matrix  $C = (c_{nk})$  by

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{u_n v_k} - \frac{1}{u_n v_{k+1}} \right) a_k & (0 \leq k < n), \\ \frac{1}{u_n v_n} a_n & (k = n), \\ 0 & (k > n). \end{cases}$$

and define the sets  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_n \sum_k |c_{nk}| < \infty \right\}; \quad c_2 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nk} \text{ exists for each } k \in N \right\}; \\ c_3 &= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |c_{nk}| = \sum_k \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nk} \right| \right\}; \quad c_4 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k c_{nk} \text{ exists} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $[c_0(u, v, \Delta)]^\beta, [c(u, v, \Delta)]^\beta$  and  $[\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)]^\beta$  is  $c_1 \cap c_2$ ,  $c_1 \cap c_2 \cap c_4$  and  $c_2 \cap c_3$  respectively.

*Proof.* We only give the proof the space  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ . Since the proof may be obtained by the same way for the spaces  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n a_k x_k &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) y_i + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} y_k \right] a_k \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_i + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_k \right] y_k \\
 &= (Cy)_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce from lemma 2 and (3.7) that  $ax = (a_n x_n) \in cs$  whenever  $x \in c_0(u, v, \Delta)$  if and only if  $Cy \in c$  whenever  $y \in c_0$ . Therefore we derive by lemma 2. which shows that  $\{c_0(u, v, \Delta)\}^\beta = c_1 \cap c_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *The  $\gamma$ -dual of the  $c_0(u, v, \Delta)$ ,  $c(u, v, \Delta)$  and  $\ell_\infty(u, v, \Delta)$  is the set  $c_1$ .*

*Proof.* This may be obtained in the similar way used in the proof of Theorem 6 with Lemma 3 instead of Lemma 2. So, we omit the detail.  $\square$

#### 4. MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE SPACE $c(u, v, \Delta)$

In this section, we directly prove the theorems which characterize the classes  $(c(u, v, \Delta) : \ell_\infty)$  and  $A \in (c(u, v, \Delta) : c)$ .

**Theorem 8.**  *$A \in (c(u, v, \Delta) : \ell_\infty)$  if and only if*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_n \sum_{i=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right| < \infty$$

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right]$$

*exists for all  $k, n \in N$ .*

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{n \in N} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right| < \infty, (n \in N).$$

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right]$$

*exists for all  $n \in N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in (c(u, v, \Delta) : \ell_\infty)$ . Then  $Ax$  exists and is in  $\ell_\infty$  for all  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$ . Thus, since  $\{a_{nk}\}_{k \in N} \in \{c(u, v, \Delta)\}^\beta$  for all  $n \in N$ , the necessities of the conditions (4.2)-(4.4) are satisfy. Because of  $Ax$  exists and is in  $\ell_\infty$  for every  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$ .

Let us consider the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} \right] a_{nk} y_k ; (n \in N)$$

which yields us under our assumptions as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right] y_k, \quad (n \in N).$$

Therefore we get by (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_{\infty} &\leq \sup_n \sum_k \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right| |y_k| \\ &\leq \|y\|_{\infty} \sup_n \sum_k \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $Ax \in \ell_{\infty}$  whenever  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$  and this step completes the proof.  $\square$

We wish to give a lemma concerning the characterization of the class  $A \in (c_0 : c)$  which is needed in proving the next theorem and due to Stieglitz and Tietz[13].

**Lemma 4.**  $A \in (c_0 : c)$  if and only if the conditions of Lemma 2 with  $\alpha_k = 0$  for all  $k$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a_{nk} = 0.$$

**Theorem 9.**  $A \in (c(u, v, \Delta) : c)$  if and only if (4.1)-(4.4) hold, and

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} = \alpha,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right) = \alpha_k, \quad (k \in N).$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in (c(u, v, \Delta) : c)$ . Since  $c \subset \ell_{\infty}$ , the necessities of (4.1)-(4.4) are immediately obtain Theorem 4.1.  $Ax$  exists and is in  $c$  for all  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$  by the hypothesis, so in necessities of the conditions (4.6) and (4.7) are easily obtained with the sequences  $x = (1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and  $x = b^{(i)}$ , respectively; where  $b^{(i)}$  is defined by (1.2). Conversely, suppose that the conditions (4.1)-(4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) hold and take any  $x \in c(u, v, \Delta)$ . Then  $\{a_{ni}\}_{i \in N} \in \{c(u, v, \Delta)\}^{\beta}$  for each  $n \in N$  which implies that  $Ax$  exists. One can derive by (4.1) and (4.7) that

$$\sum_{i=0}^s |\alpha_i| \leq \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right| < \infty$$

holds every  $s \in N$ . This yields that  $(\alpha_i) \in \ell_1$  and hence the series  $\sum \alpha_i y_i$  absolutely converges. Let us consider the following equality obtained from (3.5) with  $a_{ni} - \alpha_i$  instead of  $a_{ni}$

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_k (a_{nk} - \alpha_k) x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{u_k} \left( \frac{1}{v_i} - \frac{1}{v_{i+1}} \right) a_{nk} + \frac{1}{u_k v_k} a_{nk} \right] (a_{nk} - \alpha_i) y_k.$$



Therefore we derive from lemma 2 with (4.8) that

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k (a_{nk} - \alpha_k) x_k = 0.$$

Thus, we deduce by combining (4.9) with the fact  $(\alpha_i y_i) \in \ell_1$  that  $Ax \in c$  and this step completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleen, Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* 42(56) (1987) 57 – 61.
- [2] B. Altay and H. Polat, On some new Euler difference sequence spaces, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 30 (2006) 209-220.
- [3] B. Altay and F. Başar, The fine spectrum and the matrix domain of the difference operator  $\Delta$  on the sequence space  $\ell_p$ ,  $(0 < p < \infty)$ , *Commun. Math. Anal.* 2(2) (2007), 1-11.
- [4] B. Altay and F. Başar, Some paranormed sequence spaces of nonabsolute type derived by weighted, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 319(2006) 494-508.
- [5] R. Çolak and M. Et, On some generalized difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformations, *Hokkaido Math J.*, 26(3), (1997) 483-492.
- [6] V. Karakaya and H. Polat, Some New Paranormed Sequence Spaces defined by Euler and Difference Operators, *Acta Sci. Math(Szeged)*, 7z(2010), 209–222.
- [7] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence space, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24 (2) (1981) 169 – 176.
- [8] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, The University Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge, 1988.
- [9] H. Polat and F. Başar, Some Euler spaces of difference sequences of order  $m$ , *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B England Ed.* 27B (2) (2007) 254 – 266.
- [10] W. H. Ruckle, *Sequence spaces*, Pitman Publishing, Toronto 1981.
- [11] E. Malkowsky and E. Savaş, Matrix transformations between sequence spaces of generalized weighted mean, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 147 (2004) 333-345.
- [12] D. J. H. Garling, The  $\alpha$ –,  $\beta$ –,  $\gamma$ –duality sequence spaces, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 63(1967), 963-981.
- [13] M. Stieglitz and H. Tietz, Matrix transformationen von Folgenraumen Eine Ergebnisübersicht, *Math. Z.* 154 (1977) 1-16.
- [14] [10] M. Et and M. Başarır, On some genaralized difference sequence spaces, *Period. Math. Hung.* 35 (3) (1997), 169- 175.
- [15] A. Wilansky, *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 85, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

MUŞ ALPARSLAN UNIVERSITY ART AND SCIENCE FACULTY , MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT , 49100 MUŞ , TURKEY .

*E-mail address:* h.polat@alparslan.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ENGINEERING , YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY , ISTANBUL -TURKEY

*E-mail address:* vkkaya@yildiz.edu.tr

İSTANBUL COMMERCE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÜSKÜDAR, ISTANBUL, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* necsimsek@yahoo.com